

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/526,622	HOHENSEE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Before Final

(1) Arezoo Sherkat.

(3) _____.

(2) Scott Smiley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The amendment of claims 17, 22, and 24 was suggested to specifically claim the invention and overcome the existing prior arts. Applicant amended the above mentioned claims to include the contents of claims 19/33 and 39.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

A. Sherkat

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)